State Of North Dakota	In District Court	
County Of	Judicial District	
Plaintiff, vs  Defendant  The moving party, the (choose one) □ P	) Findings Of Fact, ) Conclusions Of Law, And Order On Motion To	
N.D.Sup.Ct.Admin.R. 41, Section 4(a) and N.D.R.  The (choose one) $\square$ Plaintiff $\square$ Defendant $\square$ V	.Ct. 3.4(e), on  Victim □ Other (if you chose "other", state	
relationship)	responded to the Motion on	
The court cor	nsidered the Motion and decided it on Briefs	
under Rule 3.2 of the North Dakota Rules of Co	urt.	
Finding	s Of Fact	
2. The Court finds there are there are suffi	The Court finds there are there are sufficient grounds to overcome the presumption of	
openness of court records in the above-named	case to allow public access to the moving party's	
records to be prohibited.		
3. The following specific facts show that pu	ublic interest in maintaining open public access	
to the records of the above-captioned case(s) is	minimal (Paragraph 3 continues on page 2).	
a		

c.	
d.	
•	
e.	
•	
f.	
_	
4.	The following specific facts show that the moving party has sustained or is likely to
	The following specific facts show that the moving party has sustained or is likely to the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s)
sustaiı	
sustaiı	n the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s)
sustaiı	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r	n the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s)
sustaii have r	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r <b>a.</b>	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r <b>a.</b>	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r <b>a.</b>	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustaii have r <b>a.</b>	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).
sustain have r a. b.	the following substantial harms because the records in the above-captioned case(s) emained available for public access ( <i>Paragraph 4 continues on page 3</i> ).

e.				
Conclusions Of Law				
5.	There is an overriding interest in protecting the moving party from further harm by			
restricting public access to the records in the above-captioned case(s).				
6.	There is a minimal interest in maintaining public access to the records in the above-			
captioned case(s).				
	Order			
Based on the above, the clerk of court is ordered to promptly:				
a. Prohibit access to the entire case.				
b. □(i) Restrict access to all of the documents listed in the Moving Party's Brief.				
(ii) Restrict access to all of the documents listed in the Moving Party's Brief except for				
the following:				

5.

6.

c. $\square$ (i) Redact all of the information from the documents as listed in the Moving Party's Brief.		
☐(ii) Redact all of the information from the documents as listed in the Moving Party's		
Brief <u>except</u> for the following:		
	District Judge	